ANALGESIA FOR RETROBULBAR BLOCK

- Comparison of Remifentanil, Alfentanil and Fentanyl -
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Abstract

Background: The injection of retrobulbar block is associated with significant pain and discomfort. Therefore a short-acting IV analgesic before retrobulbar injection has been advocated.

Objective: To compare remifentanil, alfentanil and fentanyl in providing analgesia for retrobulbar block injection.

Methods: 69 patients were enrolled randomly into three groups of 23 each to receive either Remifentanil 1 µg/kg, Alfentanil 20 µg/kg or Fentanyl 2 µg/kg as an IV bolus dose prior to retrobulbar injection. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) were recorded and Numerical Pain Score (NPS) were assessed by a blinded observer.

Results: Remifentanil prevented increase in MAP and HR while alfentanil and fentanyl were ineffective in this purpose (p < 0.05). NPS was significantly lower in remifentanil group (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: Remifentanil 1 µg/kg prior to retrobulbar injection
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provide excellent hemodynamic stability and ensure analgesia.
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**Introduction**

General anesthesia is hazardous in a large number of patients undergoing ophthalmic surgery, as many of them are elderly with multisystem diseases. Likewise many ophthalmic procedures can be performed safely in an outpatient setting, using local (peri-retrobulbar block, PRBB) or topical anesthesia. However, insertion of the needle is associated with pain and anxiety which can produce hemodynamic instability and thus more discomfort. As the patient must be calm, cooperative and aware during the block, some kind of short-acting premedication can be helpful.

The ideal premedication should ensure analgesia, decrease discomfort, limit patient’s movement and produce no cardiovascular or respiratory side effects. However, the use of sedative or anxiolytic drugs has been criticized in this setting particularly in elderly patients as they can compromise the patient’s consciousness and cooperation.

Many agents and combinations have been used for this purpose including remifentanil, alfentanil, fentanyl, propofol and midazolam, but no comparison studies were made between the three narcotics.

Our study compared the effectiveness of remifentanil, alfentanil and fentanyl in providing analgesia for RBB injection and also assessed whether these drugs could provide hemodynamic stability.

**Methods and Materials**

Following the Institutional Ethic Committee approval, written informed patient consent was obtained. A prospective clinical trial of 69 patients (aged 50-70, ASA I-II) to undergo intraocular surgery under retrobulbar blocks was undertaken. An \( \alpha = 0.01 \) and \( 1-\beta = 90\% \) was used
to determine the sample size of 23 in each group. Patients with hypertension, hyperthyroidism, frequent cough, neurologic or psychiatric disorders, contraindication to any of the study drugs and visual impairment of the nonoperative eyes, were excluded.

The procedure was carefully explained to each patient: the block, the analgesic and Numerical Pain Score (NPS).

In the operating room standard monitoring was instituted (Poyandegan Raha Saadat, Tehran, Iran) and supplemental O$_2$ was administered at 2 L.min$^{-1}$ by nasal cannula. A 20 gauge IV cannula was inserted and attached to an infusion of normal saline. No oral premedication was given.

Patients were then randomly assigned by a computer generated random number table to three groups of 23 each. In group F an IV bolus of 2 µg/kg fentanyl (Janssen-Cilag, Belgium) was slowly administered 4 minutes before block injection. In group A and group R, 90 seconds before RBB placement, alfentanil 20 µg/kg (Janssen-Cilag, Belgium) and remifentanil 1 µg/kg (Glaxosmithkline, UK) were administered respectively. All the blocks were performed by an attending ophthalmologist using a 1.5 inch 23-gauge needle.

Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) were recorded just before RBB injection (as baseline value) and at 1, 3 and 5 minutes after block placement. A blinded observer assessed NPS using a 10-point scale$^6$. If the respiratory rate decreased to less than 10 breath/minute, the patients were stimulated verbally to breath. Any derangement in the above protocol put the patient out of the study.

Demographic data were compared using One-Way ANOVA for age and weight and chi-square test for sex. Multiple comparisons of the hemodynamic parameters were done using One-Way ANOVA. Statistical comparisons of NPS values were made by using One-Way ANOVA and Fisher exact test. A P-value less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**
There were no differences in demographic data’s among the A, F & R groups (Table 1).

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Male (n)</th>
<th>Female (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>68.173 ± 4.49</td>
<td>61.304 ± 9.47</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group F</td>
<td>66.695 ± 5.57</td>
<td>60.217 ± 7.69</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group R</td>
<td>65.956 ± 6.45</td>
<td>64.173 ± 11.46</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Age and weight values are Mean ± SD.

Pain associated with the RBB injection was significantly lower in group R as compared with the two other groups (p < 0.05). The Numerical Pain Score (NPS) was 0.34 ± 0.71 in group R, while a value of 1.56 ± 0.99 and 2.90 ± 1.19 were recorded for group A and F respectively. A NPS > 5 did not occur in any patients (Fig. 1).

**Fig. 1**

Numerical pain score (0-10) for retrobulbar block injection in the studied groups (P < 0.05).

MAP increased significantly over baseline value in group F and A at 1 and 3 minutes after RBB injection, but returned to near baseline at 5th minute. In group R, however, MAP was nearly stable with a nonsignificant decrease over the study. Overall there was significant
difference in MAP on comparing group R with groups F and A (P < 0.05) after RBB injection (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2
Mean arterial pressure before retrobulbar block & at 1, 3, and 5 minutes thereafter.

The HR (Fig. 3), showed a nonsignificant decrease in group R over the measurement period. In contrast, HR demonstrated a significant increase over baseline at 1st minute, returned to near normal at 3rd minute and decreased to a value less than normal at 5th minute in groups F and A. The change in HR was significantly different at all measurements comparing group R with groups F and A (P < 0.05).

Fig. 3
Changes in mean heart rate over time in the studied groups
SpO₂ was above 90% in all cases as patients were conscious and responded to verbal stimulation for breathing.

**Discussion**

Retrobulbar injection is painful and patients often express anxiety over the procedure. Pain and anxiety are associated with systemic problems such as tachycardia, hypertension and dysrhythmia and can make the patient move their eyes thus increasing the risk of complications.\(^7,8\)

In an attempt to prevent complications, patient can be sedated before the block is administered. However, sedated patients may become uncooperative and feel pain that makes them move\(^2\). The optimal requirements are therefore a patient who is hemodynamically stable without respiratory depression, who is not anxious and moving during RBB injection and yet who is able to respond to commands.\(^9\)

In this regards opioid drugs can be used for both sedation and analgesia, as a good preemptive analgesia can also provide hemodynamic stability and reduction of IOP.\(^2,10,11\)

In our study remifentanil, alfentanil and fentanyl were compared as analgesics prior to RBB placement. These short acting narcotics have been used previously as a sole agent or in combination with propofol or midazolam for providing optimal peri-retrobulbar block condition.

A single bolus of 2 µg/kg fentanyl had been used to prevent painful stimulation during block injection and to provide a cooperative and comfortable state.\(^2\)

Alfentanil as used by McHardy et al.\(^12\) and Yee et al\(^13\), showed beneficial effects in limiting movement during RBB injection and minimized hemodynamic response. Also, Remifentanil an anilopiiperidine first approved for clinical use in 1996, provide excellent analgesia during retrobulbar placement.\(^14,15\)
In our study in comparing these three narcotics, remifentanil provided superior analgesia for the block injection. The inability of remifentanil in providing satisfactory condition in the study performed by Boezaart et al is probably due to the low dose (0.3 μg/kg) used⁹.

More hemodynamic stability was found with the use of remifentanil. The mild decrease in HR and MAP in group R could be attributed to the deep analgesic effect that remifentanil provided for performing RBB injection and the continuation of depressant cardiovascular effect of this drug after block injection. Both fentanyl and alfentanil were unable to prevent the hemodynamic effects, especially at 1st minute after RBB injection.

As these three narcotics are short-acting and all the patients were cooperative and alert during the performance of the block, the problem of respiratory depression, if any, was solved by verbally stimulating patients to breath.

In conclusion, we recommend the usage of remifentanil 1 μg/kg 1 minute before RBB injection to ensure analgesia and hemodynamic stability.
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