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Abstract

Submental intubation is an alternative to tracheostomy in patients requiring surgical access to both oral and nasal cavities. It is relatively safe, simple, and low morbidity procedure and requires only basic surgical equipment to perform. We successfully performed a submental intubation in a young patient with maxillofacial hypoplasia undergoing Le Fort I maxillary advancement without any intra- and post-operative complications.
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Introduction

Maxillofacial procedures can create an exhaustive drill for an anesthesiologist to acquire a secure airway, which necessitates a substantial amount of cooperation between the surgeon and the anesthesiologist when the operative field is a constant threat to the airway. Alternative options like tracheostomy, retromolar, and submental intubation are techniques that can be utilized in such scenarios. Of these, submental intubation has shown to be simple, safe, and cost effective with no major post-operative complications1,2. Due to the rapidity of access, submental intubation has also been utilized in establishing airway in patients with maxillofacial trauma3,4. Here we describe our experience in a patient undergoing submental intubation for maxillary advancement who previously had multiple surgeries as a child to correct dysplasia.

Case description

A 22-year-old female patient with severe maxillary hypoplasia and frontonasal dysplasia (Mallampati score, MPII) was scheduled for Le Fort I maxillary advancement. After application of standard monitors and preoxygenation, slow inhalational induction was performed. Initially, we used inhalation induction to keep the patient spontaneously breathing under anesthesia. Bilateral nares were prepared using oxymetazoline nasal spray in anticipation of nasal intubation. A trial of inserting a 14 French catheter through the bilateral nares was unsuccessful. Fiber optic visualization revealed distorted anatomy probably secondary to previous surgical procedures. Alternatively, after controlled intravenous induction, a direct laryngoscopy with a Macintosh laryngoscope size 3 blade was performed and a grade III view, as classified by Cormack and Lehane5, was observed. A 6.0mm reinforced endotracheal tube was inserted and secured temporarily. A small
incision was placed through the skin in the submental region by the surgeon (Fig 1). A curved hemostat was inserted through the skin incision to gain access to the floor of the mouth (Fig 2). Access was established on the lingual surface of the mandible in order to avoid injury to the lingual nerve and artery, and Wharton’s Duct. The proximal endotracheal tube connector was removed and the tube was pulled through the skin incision using a hemostat. The proximal connector was then reattached to the endotracheal tube and it was sutured and secured. Once the airway was secure, general anesthesia maintained it by using a sevoflurane inhalation agent along with oxygen and an opioid for pain control with pressure control ventilation. Throughout the procedure, an adequate surgical field was available to the surgeon with no compromise on respiratory parameters. After completion of the procedure, the endotracheal tube was pulled back into the oral cavity and submental incision was sutured. The patient was extubated awake. No complications were noted in post-operative period.

Discussion

Maxillofacial anomalies and trauma has been a challenging scenario for anesthesiologists. Establishing a secure airway and sharing the narrow field with surgeons was not without major complication until 1986 when the submental route of intubation was introduced. It was first described by Hernandez6. It not only revolutionized the approach to surgical airway management but also showed prominent advantages over other surgical airway techniques like tracheostomy and retromolar intubation2,7,8. Although tracheostomy is an efficient and time-tested option, it has major post-operative complications like infections, prolonged hospital admission, and tracheostomy care expense9-11. By decreasing the number of emergent and controlled tracheostomies, considerable decrease in post-operative complications and management cost have been shown12. On the other hand, retromolar intubation might not be the option in many patients due to inadequate retromolar space.

Submental intubation is a simple and quick technique that can be incorporated with a majority of maxillofacial surgical procedures without a major increase in procedure time. The technique allows easy and unobstructed access to the maxillofacial anatomy and prevents the need for a tracheotomy for airway maintenance during surgery. If there is a contraindication to a nasal tube, this technique allows the work to proceed. Since its introduction, modifications have been described and several institutional reviews have been published demonstrating its safety and efficacy1,2,8. Submental intubation is a surgical airway management technique that has shown to be a better procedure than others, is largely accepted by surgeons and patients, and does not involve substantial morbidity1,8.
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BRIDION—for optimal neuromuscular blockade management and improved recovery

Predictable and complete reversal

- 98% of BRIDION patients recovered to a TOF* ratio of 0.9 from reappearance of T1 within 5 minutes²
- 97% of BRIDION patients recovered to a TOF* ratio of 0.9 from 1 to 2 PTCs † within 5 minutes³

Rapid reversal

- BRIDION rapidly reversed patients from reappearance of T2 † in 1.4 minutes²
- BRIDION rapidly reversed patients from 1 to 2 PTCs † in 2.7 minutes³

BRIDION is indicated for the reversal of neuromuscular blockade induced by rocuronium or vecuronium. In children and adolescents (aged 2-17 years), BRIDION is only recommended for routine reversal of moderate rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade.¹

Important safety information

BRIDION is not recommended in patients with severe renal impairment. Studies in patients with hepatic impairment have not been conducted and, therefore, patients with severe hepatic impairment should be treated with great caution. Caution should be exercised when administering BRIDION to pregnant women as no clinical data on exposed pregnancies are available.

BRIDION has not been investigated in patients receiving rocuronium or vecuronium in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) setting.

If neuromuscular blockade is required within 24 hours of BRIDION administration, a non-depolarizing neuromuscular blocking agent should be used instead of rocuronium or vecuronium. The most commonly reported adverse reactions were dysgeusia (metal or bitter taste) and anesthetic complications (movement, coughing, grimacing, or sucking on the endotracheal tube). In patients treated with BRIDION, a few cases of awareness were reported. The relation to BRIDION was uncertain. In a few individuals, allergic-like reactions (i.e. flushing, erythematous rash) following BRIDION were reported. Clinicians should be prepared for the possibility of allergic reactions and take the necessary precautions. In a trial of patients with a history of pulmonary complications, bronchoscopy was reported in 2 patients and a causal relationship could not be fully excluded.

Volunteer studies have demonstrated a slight (1%-2%) and transient (<30 minutes) prolongation of the prothrombin time/activated partial thromboplastin time (PT/aPTT) with BRIDION; however, clinical studies have demonstrated no clinically relevant effect on peri- or postoperative bleeding complications with BRIDION alone or in combination with anticoagulants. As BRIDION has demonstrated an in vitro pharmacodynamic interaction with anticoagulants, caution should be exercised in patients on anticoagulation for a pre-existing or concomitant condition. This pharmacodynamic interaction is not clinically relevant for patients receiving routine postoperative prophylactic anticoagulation. Although formal interaction studies have not been conducted, no drug interactions were observed in clinical trials. Preclinical data suggest that clinically significant drug interactions are unlikely with the possible exceptions of toremifene, fusidic acid, and hormonal contraceptives.
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⁴ Post-tetanic counts
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Please see summary of product characteristics for full prescribing information.
**PAJUNK**

Pioneering Medical Technology

**TAP Block And InfiltraLong**
For Effective Treatment Of Long And Deep Incisions

**Sono Cannulas**
For Single Shot UltraSound Guided Nerve Blocks

**SonoSystem And SonoLong Curl**
For UltraSound Guided Nerve Blocks

**Sprotte® 2.G**
The New Generation Dura Puncture In Minimum Time

**SonoEye Ophtalmic Block**
For Peribulbar And Retrobulbar Blocks Under Ultrasonic Monitoring

www.mediline-lb.com  Tel:+961 1 697500
Intrafix® SafeSet
The first IV administration set with AirStop and PrimeStop

Gives every ward that extra measure of safety while providing higher efficiency.

Thanks to AirStop in the drip chamber - the sight of a container running empty is no longer cause for alarm and no reason for energy and time to be wasted rushing around because the patient gets upset.

When the container is empty, AirStop maintains a constant fluid level. No air can get through to the patient.

Thanks to the PrimeStop at the patient connector - you can now prepare several infusions at once, quicker and more hygienic than ever before. Right away your hands are free to prepare the next infusion.
Question.

Your patient requires urgent pain medication. How can you administer this less invasively?

Answer.
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